

SWAT Award Scoring Rubric

The application must meet these basic criteria to be considered:

- Describes a single program in detail
- Provides clear, complete and relevant metrics and results
- The program is currently active or implemented after January 1, 2019

Instructions for evaluators:

If an application does not provide enough information for the evaluator to assess one of the main criteria categories (indicated by row), that category may be assigned 0 points. Otherwise, please refer to the scoring aid below to assign the appropriate value for each component of the application.

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement
	(3 points)	(2 points)	(0-1 point)
Program addresses stated need or problem (10%)	The program very clearly addresses the stated need or problem.	The program moderately addresses the stated need or problem.	The program <u>does not</u> <u>clearly</u> address the stated need or problem.
Partnership building, public engagement and education (20%)	Includes a <u>strong</u> focus on building partnerships with landscape and irrigation professionals – OR – engaging/educating the public on outdoor water conservation.	Has a moderate focus on building partnerships with irrigation professionals OR – engaging/educating the public on outdoor water conservation.	The program includes minimal or no emphasis on building partnerships OR – public engagement/education.
Incorporates smart, efficient technologies, best practices and programs	Clearly describes how smart tech and best practices were incorporated into the program. Smart irrigation is an important part of the program.	Clearly describes how smart tech and best practices were incorporated into the program. Smart irrigation is a somewhat important part of the program.	Smart irrigation is not important to the program.
Innovation, uniqueness (10%)	The program is very innovative and/or unique in its approach to solving the stated need/problem and meeting its goals.	The program is somewhat innovative and/or unique in its approach to solving the stated need/problem and meeting its goals.	The program exhibits minimal or no innovation or uniqueness.

Metrics (10%) Effectiveness (15%)	Metrics are very clearly defined and directly correlate to the goals of the program. The program is very effective in obtaining desired results and meeting its stated goals. Results directly correlate to metrics. It may also include	Metrics are somewhat clearly defined and somewhat directly correlate to the goals of the program. The program is moderately effective in obtaining desired results and meeting its stated goals. Results somewhat correlate to metrics. It may also include	Metrics are not clearly defined and are not directly correlated to the goals of the program. The program is minimally effective or ineffective as presented. Results are not correlated to metrics.
Overall thoroughness and replicability (15%)	The program is very well thought out and organized. It includes enough information to easily replicate the program.	relevant lessons learned. The program is moderately well thought out and organized. It includes some information to replicate the program.	The program is <u>not well</u> thought out or organized. There is <u>insufficient</u> information to replicate it.