

# AgriMet: Reclamation's Pacific Northwest Evapotranspiration Network

Peter L. Palmer<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

In 1983, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) partnered to create a network of automated agricultural weather stations called "AgriMet" in the Pacific Northwest. These stations collect and telemeter the meteorological parameters required to model crop evapotranspiration (ET). Since the installation of three stations in 1983, the network has grown to over 90 automated weather stations in the Northwest. The information is used by irrigation districts, farmers, resource conservation agencies, and agricultural consultants for irrigation scheduling and related purposes. Use of AgriMet information in irrigation scheduling results in water and energy savings, reduced soil erosion, and protection of surface and ground water supplies. Near real time hourly weather data from AgriMet is also used for a variety of applications, including peak power load forecasting, agricultural frost protection, and short term weather forecast verification.

## INTRODUCTION

Modeling ET with weather data has evolved over the years with refinements in modeling procedures and data collection methods. Current technologies typically involve automated agricultural weather stations and data transfer by satellite, phone, radio, or wireless networking. Powerful computers now make fast work of the typically complex mathematical ET models, and the Internet makes the information almost instantly available to the users of the information.

In 1983, Reclamation and BPA began an initiative to promote efficient irrigation water use in the Columbia River basin. This partnership resulted in the installation of a network of automated agricultural weather stations called "AgriMet" (for Agricultural Meteorology) in the Pacific Northwest. These stations collect and telemeter the meteorological parameters required to model crop consumptive water use. Since the initial installation of 3 stations in 1983, the network has grown to over 60 stations in Reclamation's Pacific Northwest Region, 22 stations in the Great Plains Region in Montana (east of the Continental Divide), and 7 stations in the Mid Pacific Region. Reclamation has established partnerships with more than 25 entities, including other federal and state agencies, soil and water conservation districts, universities, public utilities, and private enterprise to help fund the operation of the AgriMet network.

---

<sup>1</sup> AgriMet Program Manager, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Region  
1150 N. Curtis Road, Suite 100 Boise, Idaho 83706-1234  
(208) 378-5283 [PLPalmer@pn.usbr.gov](mailto:PLPalmer@pn.usbr.gov)

## AGRIMET DATA COLLECTION AND TRANSMISSION

AgriMet stations are located in agricultural areas throughout Idaho, Montana, Oregon, and Washington, with a few additional stations located in northern California, western Wyoming, and Nevada (Fig. 1). The weather stations are typically located on the edge of irrigated fields so that the observed weather data approximates the meteorological conditions affecting the cultivated crops in the area (Fig. 2). Each AgriMet station is configured with a standard set of sensors, including air temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, wind speed and direction, and relative humidity. These standard sensors measure the meteorological parameters required for modeling crop ET. Some sites have special sensors, including soil temperature, diffuse pyranometers for special solar radiation studies, crop canopy temperature, leaf wetness, and evaporation pan sensors.




Figure 1. AgriMet Weather Station Locations in the Northwest

All the weather station components, including sensors, solar panel, antenna, data logger and transmitter are mounted on a sturdy aluminum tripod. Sensors are mounted at standard sensor heights for agricultural weather data collection requirements (typically 2 meters). Power for each weather station is provided by a heavy duty lead acid storage battery that is recharged daily by a solar panel.

The data logger at the site measures each of the weather sensors once every second. These readings are used to derive the final data parameters for subsequent transmission, such as 15 minute air temperature observations, total hourly precipitation, etc. These parameters are transmitted once an hour via the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) to a receive site at Reclamation's Pacific Northwest Regional Office in Boise, Idaho. The receive site also down-links data for other Reclamation programs, as well as for other cooperating federal agencies.

Reliability of the data transmissions over the GOES satellite is excellent; in 2009 the AgriMet network received 99.95 percent of expected transmissions; only 200 hourly transmissions were missed out of nearly a half million expected transmissions.



Figure 2: Typical AgriMet Station

## DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES

Quality assurance for the AgriMet program consists of five interrelated components: laboratory calibration of weather sensors, an annual maintenance and calibration visit to each weather station, automated data quality control procedures, manual data quality control procedures, and an annual review of weather and associated evapotranspiration parameters.

Good data quality begins with accurate, reliable sensors in the field. In order to minimize station downtime and to respond rapidly to sensor failures, vandalism, or other problems, the AgriMet network maintains approximately a ten percent overstock of spare sensors and components. These sensors and components are maintained in a calibrated state for use anytime during the year, or for sensor replacement during annual site maintenance and calibration visits.

All AgriMet sites receive an annual maintenance and inspection visit in the spring that includes calibration and maintenance of all sensors. Data logger and transmitter parameters are checked for conformance to specifications. System battery voltage, solar panel output, and voltage regulator output are checked; these items are replaced or adjusted as needed. All sensors are compared against laboratory calibrated standards and are adjusted or replaced as needed. This special attention given to the sites during these

annual calibration and maintenance visits provides high quality meteorological data not only for crop water use modeling, but also for a variety of research and other weather related applications.

Immediately upon receipt from the GOES satellite, the weather data is subjected to several automated quality control procedures. These tests include a check of satellite transmission data quality parameters, upper and lower value limit tests, and rate of change tests. If the incoming data fails any of these checks, it is marked with a flag indicating the nature of the failure before being added to the database. These flagged values are not used in subsequent calculations, such as computation of average daily temperatures or daily ET rates.

In addition to the automated checks, a manual quality control review is performed on the data each working day. These procedures include review of satellite transmission quality parameters that may point to data quality problems not detected by the automated procedures. Other checks include graphical review of sensor data by groups of sites that have similar climatic characteristics. Apparent anomalies are examined for possible data quality problems, and bad data are removed or estimated. Summary parameters, such as mean daily temperature, and ET values are then recalculated using the revised weather data. These changes are reposted to the AgriMet website.

At the conclusion of each year, an AgriMet technician reviews annual graphs of weather data and crop consumptive water use in both climatologically and geographically similar groups, as well as individually. Reviewing these annual graphs allows for quick identification of data errors that may have been previously overlooked.

AgriMet's multi-faceted quality assurance procedures result in a very complete, accurate, and timely database of meteorological and crop water use information, all easily accessible on the Internet.

## EVAPOTRANSPIRATION MODELING

AgriMet uses the 1982 Kimberly-Penman equation for computing reference ET, adapted by Dr. James L. Wright of the USDA Agricultural Research Service through his research performed in Kimberly, Idaho (Jensen et al. 1990). This procedure requires several meteorological inputs for modeling ET, including maximum and minimum daily air temperatures, relative humidity, daily solar radiation, and daily wind run. All of these parameters are collected by the AgriMet network.

The 1982 Kimberly-Penman model uses alfalfa as the reference crop, with reference conditions defined as a well-watered alfalfa crop with 30 to 50 cm of top growth. The equation, as implemented in the AgriMet program, is represented as:

$$\lambda ET_r = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} (R_n - G) + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta + \gamma} 6.43 W_f (e_s - e_a) \quad (1)$$

Where:

- $\lambda ET_r$  is reference evapotranspiration
- $\Delta$  is the slope of the saturation vapor pressure-temperature curve
- $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant
- $R_n$  is the net radiation
- $G$  is the soil heat flux
- 6.43 is the constant of proportionality in MJ/m<sup>2</sup>/d/kPa.
- $W_f$  is the dimensionless wind function
- $(e_s - e_a)$  is the mean daily vapor pressure deficit in kPa.

Because of the variability of ET rates from crop to crop and the complexity of modeling ET, the accepted standard for deriving crop specific ET (ETc) is to model ET for a reference crop, such as alfalfa (ETr), and then apply this reference ETr value to specific crops through the use of crop coefficients (Kc). These crop coefficients are unique to the reference crop and the individual specific crop, and they vary through time with the growth stage of the plant. Crop coefficients typically are expressed as a percentage of water use compared to the reference crop (Fig. 3). In equation form, the crop coefficient methodology is represented as:

$$ETc = ETr * Kc \quad (2)$$

Where:

- ETc = Crop specific evapotranspiration
- ETr = Reference evapotranspiration (alfalfa reference)
- Kc = Crop coefficient for a specific crop.




Figure 3. Example of Crop Coefficient Curve used by AgriMet

Crop coefficients have been developed by a variety of researchers and research methods (Jensen, et al. 1990). Most of the crop coefficients used by AgriMet, however, were developed using weighing lysimeters at the USDA Agricultural Research Service Research Center in Kimberly, Idaho. Application of these crop coefficients requires, at a minimum, knowledge of the emergence date (or green up date, in the case of perennial plants) for each crop in the vicinity of each weather station. Local contacts (such as county extension agents, crop consultants, or producers) provide this input each spring in order to calibrate the AgriMet crop models to local conditions for that year. The result is a table of daily ET for each crop grown in the vicinity of each AgriMet station (Table 1).

Table 1. Daily AgriMet Crop Water Use Chart

CROP	START DATE	DAILY CROP WATER USE- (IN) PENMAN ET - JUL				COVER DATE	TERM DATE	SUM ET	7 DAY USE	14 DAY USE
		2	3	4	5					
Alfalfa	501	0.35	0.32	0.29	0.23	625	925	9.3	2.0	3.3
Pasture	420	0.24	0.22	0.20	0.16	610	925	8.1	1.4	2.3
Lawn	420	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.18	601	925	9.9	1.6	2.7
W Grain	415	0.35	0.32	0.29	0.23	625	815	12.1	2.0	3.3
S Grain	520	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.23	801	901	5.6	2.0	3.2
Potato	620	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	815	1015	1.2	0.7	1.1

#### DISSEMINATION OF AGRIMET INFORMATION

There are five major products provided by the AgriMet program:

- A table of daily ET values for the last four days for a reference crop (Alfalfa) and specific crops grown in the area. This table includes a 7-day, 14-day, and growing season ET total (see Table 1).
- A table of current weather observations from each AgriMet station, updated hourly.
- A table of summary weather parameters for the last 5 and 10 days for each station.
- A summary of ET for each day of the growing season for each crop grown in the vicinity of each station.
- Historical weather and crop water use data for all stations for the entire period of record.

All of these products are available from Reclamation's AgriMet website at <http://www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet>. Information for the Great Plains AgriMet program (east of the continental divide in Montana) is available at <http://www.usbr.gov/gp/agrimet>. Several local newspapers in the region publish AgriMet crop water use during the

growing season, providing an additional means of local dissemination. AgriMet information is further distributed to the user by county extension agents, producer cooperatives, and crop consultants.

## USES OF AGRIMET PRODUCTS AND INFORMATION

AgriMet crop water use information is integrated into various on-farm technical assistance programs by local agricultural consultants, the Cooperative Extension Service, and the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. As competition for limited water supplies increases - as well as the cost of pumping for irrigation - farmers are turning more and more to scientific irrigation scheduling.

The most common method for irrigation scheduling is known as the “checkbook method,” accounting for deposits and withdrawals to the soil moisture balance. For this procedure, the farmer must first know the plant root depth and water holding capacity of his soil. This information is typically available from detailed soil surveys of the area, or from site specific soil tests. After each irrigation during the growing season, the farmer tracks the daily crop specific ET, available from AgriMet. When the cumulative water use equals the Management Allowable Depletion (MAD) for that crop, it’s time to irrigate again. Specific knowledge of the irrigation system, combined with ET information from AgriMet, allows a farmer to apply the right amount of water at the right time for optimum crop production. Not only does the farmer typically realize savings in water and pumping costs, but reduced leaching results in reduced costs for fertilizer, herbicides, and pesticides. Various agricultural consultants have reported water and power savings ranging from 15 to 50 percent through the use of AgriMet supplied ET data (Dockter 1996). Some irrigators have reported real savings of as much as \$25 per acre in pumping costs after using AgriMet ET data to schedule their irrigations (Palmer 2004). Indirect benefits of scientific irrigation scheduling include potential reduction in non-point source surface water pollution (through reductions in nutrient and chemical laden irrigation tail water) as well as protecting ground water supplies through reduced leaching of agricultural chemicals.

AgriMet ET information is being extensively used by irrigators for on-farm irrigation water management. In a study conducted for BPA, “on-line services, primarily AgriMet, are the most commonly used source for obtaining this (ET) information and account for 45 percent of cases. These figures, however, under-represent the actual use of ET information, particularly from AgriMet, since they do not take into account cases where commercial irrigation service providers provide this data” (Kema-Xenergy, Inc. 2003).

Through scientific irrigation scheduling, AgriMet offers significant opportunities for irrigators to reduce their use of limited irrigation water supplies. There are financial incentives to do so, beyond just the costs of water and the power required to move it. For example, in a case study conducted by Oregon State University (English 2002), an economic analysis was conducted on a 125 acre center pivot of potatoes in Washington supplied by a pump with 700 feet of total lift. Assuming 19 percent excess water use (a

typical value, according to the study), and a low sensitivity to the excess water (resulting in a 3 percent yield loss), the extra costs to the farmer included:

Energy Cost:	\$ 1,490
Nitrogen Leaching:	\$ 5,625
Yield Reduction:	<u>\$ 10,890</u>
<b>Total Cost:</b>	<b>\$ 18,005</b>

In the Lake Chelan area of Washington, the local irrigation district uses AgriMet data for site-specific irrigation scheduling (Cross 1997). Manual soil moisture measurements are taken weekly at 2-4 sites per orchard in over 60 fruit orchards in the area. Daily AgriMet data is used to monitor the crop water use between field measurements. The soil moisture is plotted on a time series graph, showing soil moisture content at several depths through the growing season. When the AgriMet ET data indicates that the soil moisture has dropped to the management allowable depletion level, the producer irrigates the orchard. The next field measurement shows the new soil moisture levels, and the daily consumptive use values from AgriMet are systematically subtracted from the soil moisture levels until the next irrigation is scheduled. This process is repeated throughout the growing season, and updated information is provided to each producer on the same day the soil moisture measurements are taken.

AgriMet weather data are used for a variety of applications in addition to ET computation, and requests for current and historical weather information from the AgriMet network are common. Agricultural producers depend on wind speed and direction for scheduling such practices as field burning and pesticide applications. Weather data is used by state environmental quality regulators for investigating pesticide application and ground water contamination issues. The National Weather Service uses AgriMet weather data for short term forecasting and forecast verification. Several electric utilities use the weather information to forecast daily energy requirements, including peaking power. University researchers frequently use AgriMet data for a variety of applications, ranging from regional consumptive water use modeling to locating new orchards. ET information is being used by other agencies, such as the National Resources Conservation Service, to document compliance with irrigation water management practices on individual farm tracts. Increasingly, ET information from weather station networks is being used in water rights management by state water resource agencies.

## SUMMARY

In the early 1980's Reclamation partnered with BPA to develop a network of automated agricultural weather stations in the Pacific Northwest. From the original three sites installed in 1983, the AgriMet system has now grown to over 90 sites in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, Wyoming, and California. Reclamation has developed partnerships with over 25 federal, state, and private interests to help fund the operation of the network.

AgriMet stations collect the weather data required for modeling crop ET and transmit this information via satellite to Reclamation's regional office in Boise, Idaho. Every day during the growing season, crop water use charts are developed for crops grown in the vicinity of each AgriMet weather station. This information is available daily through the Internet and is also published in many local newspapers throughout the region. The information is used by federal and state agencies, conservation districts, irrigation districts, extension agents, agricultural consultants, corporate farms, and individual irrigators for water management purposes. The weather data collected is also used for a wide variety of other applications. A rigorous field calibration and maintenance program, and data quality assurance program ensures a high level of data quality and integrity.

Competition for limited water resources is increasing, cost of irrigation water and pumping is rising, and concerns for surface and ground water quality are heightening. In response to these factors, scientific irrigation scheduling is becoming more commonplace. AgriMet is providing the information required to meet these challenges in the Pacific Northwest.

## REFERENCES

Cross, Paul., 1997. Lake Chelan Reclamation District, Personal Communication, October, 1997.

Dockter, Douglas T., 1996. "AgriMet - Applied Technology for Agricultural Conservation". Proceedings of Conserv96, January 4-8, 1996, Orlando, Florida, pp. 41-45. Proceedings published by American Water Works Association, Denver, Colorado.

English, Marshall, 2002. PowerPoint Presentation on Irrigation Scheduling. Oregon State University.

Kema-Xenergy, Inc., 2003. "A Study of Irrigation Scheduling Practices in the Northwest". Prepared for Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, September 5, 2003. Principal Investigators: Hossein Haeri, Kema-Xenergy and Marshall English, Oregon State University.

Palmer, Peter L., 2004. Personal communication with Jeff Harper, an irrigator in Mountain Home, Idaho, who farms 1400 irrigated acres. April 19, 2004.